Penultimate Version Uploaded with Permission from Barbara Budrich Publishing

McGregor, S. L.T. (2025). Consumer education trend analysis: Implications for home economics. *Haushalt in Bildung & Forschung,* 14(2), 19–34. https://budrich-journals.de/index.php/HiBiFo/issue/view/3494

Sue L. T. McGregor

Consumer Education Trend Analysis: Implications for Home Economics

A trend analysis resulted in nine consumer education trends from 13 countries. Some take consumer education approaches in subtle new directions. Others are quite Avant Garde. Some focused of augmenting formal consumer education. Others use consumer education to change the person instead of their decision making and behaviour. Whether these trends take hold remains to be seen, but home economists can be leaders in the attendant discourse on their acceptance.

Keywords: Consumer education, trend analysis, home economics

Trendanalyse zur Verbraucherbildung: Auswirkungen auf die Haushaltsökonomie

In einer Trendanalyse zur Verbraucherbildung (VB) wurden neun Trends aus 13 Ländern identifiziert. Dazu gehören avantgardistische Ansätze und auch solche, bei denen bestehende subtil in neue Richtungen gelenkt werden. Der Fokus betrifft etwa die Erweiterung formaler VB oder eine Veränderung der Person. Ob sich diese Trends durchsetzen, bleibt abzuwarten. Vorreiter im Diskurs können Personen in Haushaltswissenschaft und haushaltsbezogener Bildung sein.

Schlüsselwörter: Verbraucherbildung, Trendanalyse, Haushaltswissenschaft

1 Introduction and Method

An important topic in the consumer education discourse in Germany is how an update of the Standing Conference of the Ministers of Education and Cultural Affairs of the Länder in the Federal Republic of Germany Recommendation on consumer education (KMK, 2013) could integrate social developments relevant to everyday life as well as current developments in research and educational practice (BMUV & MLR BW,

2024). Organizers for the VB2024 conference ("Verbraucherbildung im Fokus fachdidaktischer Forschung") were interested in which social developments, current research, and subject-didactic research formats were shaping the consumer education discourse. The aim of the symposium, and annual conference of the *Haushalt in Bildung und Forschung* association¹ was to assess the current state of consumer education and open up perspectives for its further development.²

To that end, in preparation for my invited keynote, I conducted a Google Scholar search for "consumer education" (Spring, 2024) confined to the last five years (2020–2024 inclusive) (e.g., articles, conference papers, book chapters, theses, and dissertations) and further searched for "transformative consumer education" (Fall, 2024).

I looked for trends — understood as a general direction in which something is changing or developing. Knowledge of trends can be used for informed decision making, strategic planning, continuous improvement (e.g., curricula development), forecasting (futureproofing), resource optimization and allocation, and as a competitive edge (Appinio Research, 2024).

Trends have their own life cycle: (a) introduction, (b) increase (acceptance), (c) peak (full saturation and uptake), (d) decline (gradual and continual loss of value) and (e) obsolescence (new trends are overshadowing or supplanting) (Crane, 2022). As a consumer educator and researcher for 50 years, I drew on my professional expertise in identifying ideas that pushed the boundaries of conventional, established consumer education trends – ideas that hovered around augmenting or replacing declining or obsolete consumer education.

2 Results of Consumer Education Trend Analysis

Nine consumer education trends were identified (theoretical, conceptual, pedagogical, and research) from 13 nations on five continents: Europe (Finland, Sweden, Germany, the Czech Republic, and the Russian Federation); Africa (South Africa, and Nigeria); United States; Oceania (New Zealand); and Asia (Indonesia, Malaysia, Pakistan, and South Korea) (see Table 1). This profile affirms an abiding global interest in consumer education (Herrmann, 1982).

Tab. 1: Nine Global Consumer Education Trends (2020–2024 Inclusive)

Global Consumer Education Trends identified through searches on Google Scholar

- revitalize and reconceptualize consumer education
- consumer education intensity, and consumer empowerment
- empower adults encountering a digital world (socialization, resocialization, and identity transformation)
- consumer education via social media platforms and social influencers

- consumer education as a journey not a destination
- consumer education as governing the self (socio-economic consumer education, and subjectification)
- consumer education as a branch of philosophy
- transformative consumer education via practical consumer wisdom
- humanizing consumer education as national security

Overall, some of the trends in Table 1 (a) took existing consumer education approaches in subtle new directions (e.g., reconceptualize and revitalize consumer education, consumer education *intensity* as it impacts empowerment; and resocialize adults to social media and the digital world). (b) Others were Avant Garde (e.g., consumer education for national security). (c) Some trends augmented the formal mode: consumer education as continuous education, engaged education (transdisciplinary and participatory), word-of-mouth education, and consumer education as a journey. (d) Other trends used consumer education to change the *person* instead of their decision making and behaviour: consumer education as governing the self, as a branch of philosophy, and as transformative practical wisdom.

Whether any of these nine trends take hold remains to be seen (Crane, 2022), but home economists can be leaders in attendance discourse around their acceptance. Indeed, globally, consumer education is commonly considered part of home economics curricula because the profession focuses on individual and family economic well-being. Our profession assumes that people must be empowered marketplace agents and global citizens if they are to protect their consumer interest and achieve financial security and stability. Consumer education can be taught as a separate subject, but it is often *integrated* into other areas of home and family life (i.e., home economics specializations): personal finances, clothing and textiles, food and nutrition, housing and furnishings, transportation, health, telecommunication, entertainment, and leisure.

Whether personally responsible for consumer education or not, home economics educators are strongly encouraged to bring a consumer lens to their specific area of practice and expertise. This lens comprises consumer interests, rights, responsibilities, protection, and empowerment (McGregor, 2012). Home economists so inclined could become adept *consumer education integrationists*. In that spirit, the ideas herein should resonate with vanguard home economists («Avantgarde-Hauswirtschaftler») and vanguard consumer educators («Avantgarde-Verbraucheraufklärer») and as well as political, business, and civil society agents and stakeholders. Each trend is discussed in the following subchapters.

2.1 Revitalizing and Reconceptualizing Consumer Education

To begin, because consumer education can increase consumers' skills and their satisfaction, it helps stabilize the economic system and, by association, improves harmony at the societal level (Kortesalmi, 2024). Consumer education thus benefits individuals, the economy, and society. That said, Ueno et al. (2009) identified a lack of quality consumer education: (a) missing content (e.g., vulnerable consumers, and specific consumer issues especially digital and social media); (b) a lack of evaluation of existing content; and (c) insufficient encouragement of educators to teach consumer education. They did not call for an overhaul – a revitalization or reconceptualization, which can potentially affect consumer education's excellence and reputation (i.e., its quality).

That was in 2009. Twelve years later, Greeley (2021) (United States) explicitly recommended both revitalizing and reconceptualizing consumer education implying that it was lacking and not as healthy as he thought it could be (i.e., low quality). Revitalization means imbuing something with new life and vitality to restore its health or bring it back to life. Reconceptualization involves forming new or different principles, concepts, or ideas compared to what currently exists. Greeley presumed that reconceptualization would contribute to revitalization.

I extrapolated the following suggestions from Greeley's (2021) discourse about consumer education. In addition to conventional consumer-related content, issues, and processes, consumer educators should teach (a) policy analysis, (b) critical theory, (c) critical thinking, (d) critical discourse analysis, (e) values clarification (one's own), (f) values analysis (others' values), (g) ideological awareness (cultural blueprints) and (h) paradigm shifts (individual thought patterns). I do not elaborate on these ideas here, as most home economists are expected to be familiar with them (McGregor, in press).

Greeley (2021) also recommended teaching consumer education using (i) transdisciplinarity, which accommodates ways of knowing and knowledge creation within and beyond university disciplines to include civil society, government, and industry. He tied transdisciplinarity to an "engagement model" (p. 138) of consumer education, whereby teachers would educate students about consumption by pulling in community members as well as multiple university disciplines. Greeley also advised consumer educators to use a participatory-action approach that enables local citizens, teachers, and students to work together to determine the most pressing community needs and the most relevant consumer education-informed intervention (e.g., revitalize the local market). McGregor (2010, Chapter 13) conceptualized participatory consumerism, which could be applied to consumer education.

Finally, Greeley (2021) (j) identified the need to create different ethical and educational consumer education heuristics, which are loosely defined rules, guidelines, methods, and principles that help people learn or discover something for themselves. Heuristics are defined as ...

mental shortcuts that help people make quick decisions [while] using reason and past experience to solve problems efficiently. Commonly used to simplify problems and avoid cognitive overload, heuristics ... allow individuals to quickly reach reasonable conclusions or solutions to complex problems. These solutions

may not be optimal ones but are often sufficient given limited timeframes and calculative capacity. (Chen, 2024, para. 1)

Greeley (2021) specifically recommended the development of new consumer educational heuristics for (a) navigating ubiquitous and evolving new technologies and digital platforms, (b) decision making in a complicated consumer landscape rife with ethical and moral consequences and (c) critically assessing how others are navigating both these situations. The following text showcases a collection of eight additional trends, starting with augmentations to existing consumer education approaches and culminating with completely novel innovations.

2.2 Consumer Education Intensity, and Consumer Empowerment

Consumer empowerment has long been a focus and aim of consumer education (McGregor, 2005). But recent trends are taking this mainstay in new directions with a focus on the *intensity* of consumer education. In consumer research and practice, intensity used to mean exposure time to both educational material and the program of instruction (e.g., number of hours, days, weeks, or months) (Weeks et al., 2016). Recent research has expanded intensity to include additional dimensions. The intensity construct is intriguing because it behooves consumer educators who are intent on empowering consumers to account for this aspect of the *quality* of the education program (per Ueno et al., 2009).

To illustrate, when Tajurahim et al. (2020) (Malaysia) explored the link between consumer education and empowerment, they focused on the intensity of consumer education, which they operationalized as the (a) frequency of consumers' exposure to media and educational materials, (b) clarity of these materials and (c) trust and effectiveness of the source. When the intensity of consumer education was high, consumers were more empowered. This variable was the highest contributing factor to consumer empowerment and thus the key predictor compared with the three other independent variables in their study: consumers' self-efficacy, assertiveness and aggressiveness personality traits, and social media's role.

Simanjuntak and Mubarokah (2021) (Indonesia) also focused on consumer education and empowerment, which was positively affected by the (a) intensity (operationalized as ease of access to consumer education, and ease of retrieval of consumer information); and (b) level of education (e.g., primary or secondary) but (c) not lifestyle (six types with the 'fulfilled/nonfulfilled' dimension the most influential). This positive relationship was more prevalent in urban than rural areas, where consumers were characterized as quite helpless and powerless because consumer education lacked intensity (i.e., access to classes, and information retrieval).

2.3 Empowering Adults Encountering the Digital World

Suk et al. (2023) (South Korea) agreed that formal consumer education in the public school system can enhance consumer empowerment. Yet they found that research about formal "school education was a cold topic" (p. 1423) compared to increased research interest in financial literacy education, and financial management competency. They concluded that "consumer education should not remain at the level of school education" (p. 1443) where it reaches only junior high or secondary students. Instead, given the juggernaut of technological advances (e.g., digital, smart, internet, and online environments), consumer education should be in the form of "continuous education" (p. 1443) (i.e., no gaps) to *resocialize* consumers as they move beyond youth through adulthood and strengthen their capabilities to cope with digital challenges to their empowerment.

2.3.1 Consumer (Re)Socialization

To elaborate, socialization helps people learn to behave in a way that is acceptable to society. Through the socialization process (e.g., education, social interactions, and context), people learn specific patterns of behaviour as well as knowledge, skills, and dispositions that enable them to be more-or-less effective members of society. People are socialized into their consumer role through myriad life experiences and formal consumer education (Moschis, 1987). However, teens grow into adults. What they learned during the socialization process when young may not be relevant in a changing marketplace, which is currently influenced by the digital world.

Consumer educators are thus encouraged to view it as *continuous education* that keeps up with the times rather than terminal at high school – adults must be continually *resocialized* into their consumer role (Suk et al., 2023). "Resocialization is a process of identity transformation in which people are called upon to learn new roles, while unlearning some aspects of their old ones" (Morrison, 2007, p. 3889). Through identity transformation, people can change the characteristics that define them, change who they are, the way they think about themselves, and the way the world views them (Johnson, 2019).

2.3.2 Vulnerable Consumer Education

Although not solely digital-specific, Stewart and Yap (2020) (New Zealand) proposed that adult consumer education can alleviate issues surrounding *vulnerable consumers*' level of cognition and stigmatization after they leave high school. Adult consumer education targeted at four different types of consumer vulnerability (their innovation) can help adults both reject stigma and change their persona by reshaping their self-identity. Targeted consumer education can "produce greater consumer agency (i.e., the ability to transform and shape meanings in the marketplace) and empowerment (i.e., the ability to exert power in the market)" (p. 347) in vulnerable consumers. The takeaway with this empowerment trend is two pronged. (a)

Consumer education should be *continuous* into adulthood instead of secondary-school bound and (b) it should be targeted using a newly created taxonomy of four types of vulnerable consumers instead of assuming generic consumer vulnerability.

2.4 Consumer Education via Social Media and Social Influencers

Like Suk et al. (2023), Bashir et al. (2023) (Pakistan) recognized the impact and role of social media platforms and digital technology on consumer education. They "highlighted the need for tailored and innovative approaches to consumer education in the digital age" (p. 85). They recommended that consumer educators (a) flag the powerful role of social influencers and the need for critical literacy; (b) incorporate technology into consumer education; and (c) emphasize and teach digital literacy, which is different from consumer literacy. Digital information is data (e.g., documents, images, audio and video files) that can be stored, read, transferred, and used on computers. "Digital literacy refers to the assortment of cognitive-thinking strategies that consumers of digital information utilize" (Osterman, 2012, p. 135).

Regarding social influencers, Achola et al. (2020) (South Africa) identified the trend of *word-of-mouth* consumer education manifested when smart phone technology meets social media platforms: Facebook, X (formerly Twitter), and Instagram (#hast tag phenomenon). Hand in hand is the role of social influencers and their followers who are often uncritical and eagerly accepting of advice. Achola et al. recommended educating the social influencers on the consumer interest in general or a consumer issue in particular, so they can give sound(er) advice. This strategy differs from educating everyone in a formal consumer education setting and should probably happen in concert. This trend legitimizes the power of word-of-mouth consumer education and behooves consumer educators and policy makers to pay attention to this dynamic, which researchers say is here to stay.

2.5 Consumer Education as a Journey

Aligned with the inferred augmentation of formal consumer education, Naderi and O'Riordan (2020) (Sweden) explored how consumers educate *themselves* about a complex purchase instead of depending on formal learning. Their theoretical jumping off point was the *customer journey* construct (from the retail field). "Since customer journeys give a comprehensive overview of consumers' path from their identification of needs and their search of information to the actual purchase, they also show the process of how consumers educate themselves" (p. 11).

Like customer journeys, consumer journeys would involve *touch points* where people interact with things that effect their impression: (a) producer or service providers (brand points); (b) the consumer's own thoughts and feelings (individual points); and (c) social/external points controlled by independent actors (e.g., blogs,

forums, and social influencers) (Naderi & O'Riordan, 2020). They innovatively framed consumer education as a journey rather than a destination. Instead of ending up educated about "the marketplace" (a final destination), consumers would educate themselves as they journey through life, one complex purchase at a time (i.e., become self-educated, lifelong-learner consumers). This trend suggests that formal consumer education pedagogy must instill critical thinking skills and complex, deepthinking skills (e.g., engage in thoughtful reflection and analysis, question assumptions and beliefs, and seek new perspectives) (see McGregor, 2020).

2.6 Consumer Education as Governing the Self

Normally, consumer educators assume that "taking responsibility . . . ranges from the cost-efficiant [sic] use of existing (financial) resources to ensuring intra-and intergenerational justice" (Wittau, 2024, p. 265). Wittau (2021, 2024) (Germany) took a different tactic and linked consumer education with "governing the self" (2021, p. 289), which involves (a) self-determination (i.e., controlling and shaping one's life); and (b) self-responsibility (i.e., being answerable and accountable for something within one's control, power, or management). People would take control of and be responsible for governing themselves, so they can consume *the right way*. However, in this case, the right way means being grounded in a *governed self* (Wittau, 2024) rather than grounded in the principles of the consumer interest (i.e., what best benefits the consumer relative to the seller) (see McGregor, 2012).

2.6.1 Socio-economic Consumer Education, and Subjectification

To ensure that consumer education occurs as governing the self, Wittau (2024) recommended *socio-economic consumer education*. Instead of creating consumer education predicated on consumer sovereignty and neo-liberal individual responsibility, socio-economic consumer education "aims to open up a (self-)critical view of the world for learners [so] the 'practices of the self' become visible" (p. 261). Instead of "transfer[ing] responsibility (exclusively) to single individuals, [consumer education would] address the responsibility of society as a whole and of politics" (p. 273). To that end, consumer education should teach the practices and principles involved in *subjectification* along with conventional objective consumer education content (Wittau, 2024).

Subjectification describes a procedure that helps people observe, analyze, interpret, and recognize themselves as a possible domain of knowledge (Stewart & Roy, 2014). Through this process, they gain insight into how they became who they are and what they are (Davies, 2006). The resultant "recognition of the unfamiliar in oneself opens up . . . a new approach to the other, one that does not mark off such absolute boundaries between oneself as the known and the other as the unknown" (Davies, 2006, p. 436). Relative to governing the self, "responsibility [thus] lies

inside social relations and inside a responsibility to and for oneself in relation to the other — not oneself as a known entity, but oneself in process, unfolding or folding up, being done or undone, in relation to the other, again and again" (Davies, 2006, p. 436).

Socio-economic consumer education (which includes teaching about subjectification and governing the self) would teach students how to find, develop, and critique their positions, needs, interests, goals, and convictions in relation to others. Consumer education would thus become a mediator for more self-responsibility and more self-determination that benefits self, others, and society (Wittau, 2021, 2024). "Consumer education in this way can certainly be understood as a means of subjectivising responsibility towards a government of the self" (Wittau, 2024, p. 273).

As a caveat, some consumer educators already concern themselves with *consumer subjectivity* but only as it pertains to people gaining a consumer identity rather than a governing self when consuming. Subjectivities are a socially constructed set of guidelines that direct one's behaviour in a role. Consumer subjectivities direct people's role as a consumer to their identity as a consumer (Symonds, 2021). Kortesalmi (2024) (Finland) intriguingly seemed to meld both identity (subjectivity) and self-governance (subjectification) when she referred to "the event of achieving subjectivity as consumers, during which time they design their subjective identities as consumers [as they] become aware of their attitudes and the capabilities they possess when reflecting on their own experiences [i.e., subjectification]" (p. 55).

2.7 Consumer Education as a Branch of Philosophy

McGregor (2011) previously applied various educational philosophies to consumer education. In an innovative twist, Korneeva et al. (2022) (Czech Republic, and Russian Federation) framed consumer education *as* "a branch of philosophy" (p. 225). Philosophy is the study of the fundamental nature of reality, knowledge, logic, and values. More important, philosophies guide people's behaviour. Steeping consumers in a philosophy (i.e., an entrenched belief and behaviour-guidance system) is much more powerful than teaching just consumer-related content that must perpetually change. Philosophies are more enduring and can be used in most life contexts. As a philosophy, consumer education is

the study of how people might educate themselves about the real character, the constitutive features of good living . . . Consumer education cannot be assigned to one particular discipline, but it is an assignment that can be contributed by each subject. [As a branch of philosophy, it] should be incorporated into [all] education curricula, right from the start, and in every level of the educational system. (Korneeva et al., 2022, p. 225)

This innovation supports powerful arguments in favour of consumer education. To borrow from McGregor (2023), a lack of consumer philosophical groundedness has repercussions. The ethical and moral dimensions of consumer decisions may not

have solutions in our lifetime. A philosophy can be drawn on to provide deep-rooted ideas on what *should* be done given the constraints of the context.

Furthermore, philosophical unawareness, or disengagement if previously aware, can lead to unaccountable (undefendable) behaviour. At worst, people may get bored or become uninspired when consuming if they do not have a solid philosophical grounding that holds them accountable to self and others (per McGregor, 2023). They would be deadened to any imperative to educate themselves about "the real character, the constitutive features of good living" (Korneeva et al., 2022, p. 225) and would be less ethically compelled and morally obligated to consume using their philosophical grounding. "Living a good human life means seeking to know your world, know yourself, and strive to govern yourself through reason. Generally, you should work to be the best, most virtuous version of yourself" (Mepham, 2024, para. 11).

2.8 Transformative Consumer Education

Grounded in my familiarity with transformative consumer research (TCR) (McGregor, 2015), I conducted a September and November 2024 Google Scholar search for "transformative consumer education," which yielded zero results. Yet, first works exist that link (a) transformative learning and consumer education (Bartsch, 2022); and (b) consumer education, ideas of the good life and the conduct of life (Schlegel-Matthies, 2019). I thus propose that consumer educators should springboard off the TCR initiative (which began in 2006 in the United States) and create *transformative consumer education* (TCE). TCR strives to lead people down an evolutionary journey that changes (transforms) their consumer behaviour, so it becomes grounded in TCR's *practical consumer wisdom* concept and an overall concern for human welfare (both personal and collective welfare) (Mick et al., 2012).

Practical consumer wisdom was inspired by Aristotle's notion of *phronesis* or *practical thought* (a type of wisdom or intelligence) (Mick et al., 2012). When engaged in practical thought, "the wise person [rationally] deliberates well about 'what sorts of things conduce to the good life in general' [and has] a 'true grasp' of this . . . best and highest . . . end" (Olfert, 2017, p. 108). These people have wisely employed reasoned judgement (i.e., had an internal conversation before forming an opinion or making a decision). Aristotle believed that *the good life* is a life of virtue; acting continually in a virtuous manner can lead to happiness and prosperity.

If people are *living the good life*, they have a sense of fulfilment in several life domains that enable them to flourish and feel that life is worth living: material comfort and security, well-being (multidimensional), engagement in meaningful work and activities, loving and supportive relationships, and belonging to a community. The good life is an examined life, meaning that people stop to reflect on their life purpose and values and strive for self-mastery, so they can both gain inner peace and

contribute to the wider community's stability by ensuring the state (government) creates the conditions that people need to live well and live virtuously (Nash, 2015).

Consumers can acquire practical wisdom, whose use leads to the good life, by practicing "those deliberative, emotional, and social skills that enable us to put our general understanding of well-being into practice in ways that are suitable to each occasion" (Kraut, 2022, para. 1). *Practical wisdom* entails "developing plans and solutions that are well reasoned and capable of action in regard to matters that are good or bad for humanity [i.e., human and earthly welfare]" (Mick et al., 2012, p. 9). Thus, what counts as being a wise consumer shifts from cost-benefit analyses or knowing one's preferences to engaging in perceptive, context-sensitive reasoned judgements with the intent of enhancing human welfare and well-being (personal and collective) while maximizing both social justice and equity (fair allocation of opportunities and resources). TCE's key focus would be the consumer world but *only* as it pertains to human welfare (Mick et al., 2012).

Key aspects of practical consumer wisdom are (a) continual, always-improving communication and (b) the critical evaluation of solutions to consumer problems (Mick et al., 2012). TCE would privilege these skills (communication and critical thought) and use contemporary consumer issues and content to help students learn and practice them. Practical consumer wisdom is more than practical intelligence, which "is silent on the question of what human goals should be [and what is the right thing to do]" (p. 666). A consumer with practical wisdom "not only knows the right thing to do but also wants to do it" (Mick et al., 2012, p. 666). Practical wisdom motivates them to want to improve human welfare (both personal and collective) for the good of humanity.

Practical consumer wisdom brings a powerful normative lens to consumer education. "Normative is the phenomenon in human societies of designating some actions or outcomes as good, desirable, or permissible, and others as bad, undesirable, or impermissible" ("Normativity," 2024, para. 1). If consumer education were taught from Mick et al.'s (2012) TCR perspective, people would be transformed – consumer education would change their very nature not just teach them how to consume, protect their consumer interests, and assert their consumer rights. Human welfare and the human condition would take precedence.

2.9 Humanizing Consumer Education for National Security

Twenty years ago, McGregor (2003) linked consumer rights with human rights with her suggestion of "humanizing consumer education" (p. 2). She argued that people cannot exercise their consumer rights unless their human rights are in place. Consumer educators should, thus, teach students about both types of rights and how they are interlinked. Recently, Shu'ara (2021) (Nigeria) adapted this idea when she framed "consumer rights as human rights" (p. 3) as they pertain to *national security*.

Shu'ara (2021) reasoned that (a) because human rights and national security are connected, (b) consumer education that strengthened human rights by bolstering consumer rights (c) could be used to improve national security. The latter entails "activities that ensure protection of a country, persons, properties of the community against future threats, danger, mishaps, and all other forms of perils" (p. 3). She subsequently recommended that consumer education programs be *intensified*, so they can be used as a strategic tool for sustaining both human rights and national security especially nonmilitary dimensions of national security, such as food security, environmental security, and housing security.

Intensified consumer education can mean several things: stronger, more earnest, or more serious (see chapter 2.2). Shu'ara's (2021) examples of intensification included educating (and protecting) consumers through intriguing venues beyond conventional consumer education (formal or informal; secondary or adult): (a) all government levels must uphold their constitutional responsibility for consumers' socioeconomic well-being, which affects national development; (b) governments should use consumer education programs as a tool for human rights; and (c) security agencies (e.g., military, police, border control, and intelligence) should be held accountable for consumer rights violations as well as human rights abuses.

Shu'ara also recommended that (d) government, business, and consumers should be held accountable for "threats to consumer security (human rights violations against consumers)" (2021, p. 6). Consumer security is different from but linked to both national security and human security (i.e., freedom from want and fear). As a caveat, Blythe and Johnson (2018) also used the term consumer security, but they took it to mean the internet connectivity built into consumer products (e.g., baby monitors, and smart appliances). Shu'ara's (2021) innovations take consumer education out of the direct hands of educators and broaden it to include noneducation agents and stakeholders with consumer, national, and human security at the core.

3 Conclusion

Taken together, this trend analysis suggests that consumer education could morph into a new entity beyond formalized secondary school education. It would orient people to gain *practical wisdom* as they learn to *govern their self* while viewing consumer education as a *philosophy* that guides them on their consumer *journey* to live and help others live the good life (focus on humanity and human welfare). This would require a *reconceptualization* of and *revitalized* approach to educating young and adult consumers to ensure *empowerment* in a *digital* age and, in some contexts, to bolster *national security*. Consumer education would be more *intense* and *continuous* (no gaps) to better ensure *socialization*, *resocialization*, and *identity transformation*. It would espouse a *critical* lens (reveal and challenge power) and be *transformative*, *transdisciplinary*, and *participatory*. I respectfully offer these insights to

home economists and consumer educators in the German-speaking discourse who may opt to participate in and influence the ongoing initiatives to update consumer education curricula.

Notes

- 1 HaBiFo association's website: http://www.habifo.de/
- 2 Call for Papers for the VB2024 conference: https://www.static.tu.berlin/filead-min/www/10002029/Forschung/Fachtagung2024/Download_Fachtagung/Call-2024-HaBiFo-Verbraucherbildung.pdf

References

- Achola, G., Asamoah-Manu, N., Drici Tani, Y. & Weiss, A. (2020). Consumer education can lead to behaviour change. *Journal of Field Actions*, 22, 96–103. https://journals.openedition.org/factsreports/6426
- Appinio Research. (2024, February 13). What is trend analysis? Definition, formula, examples. *Appinio Blog*. https://www.appinio.com/en/blog/market-research/trend-analysis
- Bashir, S., Khan, A. S. & Khan, F. S. (2023). The role of consumer education in strengthening consumer protection laws. *Pakistan Journal of Social Research*, *5*(2), 85–92. https://doi.org/10.52567/pjsr.v5i02.1170
- Blythe, J. M. & Johnson, S. D. (2018, March 28–29). The Consumer Security Index for IoT: A protocol for developing an index to improve consumer decision making and to incentivize greater security provision in IoT devices [Paper presentation]. Cybersecurity of the IoT-2018 Conference, London England. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8379691/
- Bundesministerium für Umwelt, Naturschutz, nukleare Sicherheit und Verbraucherschutz (BMUV) & Ministerium für Ernährung, Ländlichen Raum und Verbraucherschutz in Baden-Württemberg (MLR). (2024, January 16). Bund-Länder-Arbeitsgruppe zur schulischen und außerschulischen Verbraucherbildung nimmt Arbeit auf [Federal-State working group on school and extracurricular consumer education begins work] (Press Release). https://www.bmuv.de/pressemitteilung/bund-und-land-setzen-neue-impulse-in-der-verbraucherbildung
- Chen, J. (2024). Heuristics: Definition, pros & cons, and examples. In C. Silver (Ed.), *Investopedia*. https://www.investopedia.com/terms/h/heuristics.asp
- Crane, K. (2022, July 21). The life cycle of a trend. *Design Pool Blog*. https://www.designpoolpatterns.com/the-life-cycle-of-a-trend/
- Davies, B. (2006). Subjectification: The relevance of Butler's analysis for education. *British Journal of Sociology of Education*, 27(4), 425–438. http://www.jstor.org/stable/30036154

- Greeley, L. (2021). *Toward a reconceptualization and revitalization of consumer education*. [Doctoral dissertation, Rutgers University]. RUcore: Rutgers University Community Repository. https://rucore.libraries.rutgers.edu/rutgers-lib/65784/PDF/1/
- Herrmann, R. O. (1982). The historical development of the content of consumer education: An examination of selected high school texts, 1938–1978. *Journal of Consumer Affairs*, 16(2), 195–223. http://www.jstor.org/stable/23859150
- Johnson, B. (2019, December 28). Identity transformation. *The Dream Dean Blog*. https://thedreamdean.com/2019/12/28/identity-transformation/
- KMK Sekretariat der Ständigen Konferenz der Kultusminister der Länder in der Bundesrepublik Deutschland IIA (Hrsg.). (2013, December 9).
 Verbraucherbildung an Schulen: Beschluss der Kultusministerkonferenz [Consumer education in schools: Resolution of the Standing Conference of the Ministers of Education and Cultural Affairs].
 https://www.kmk.org/fileadmin/Dateien/veroeffentlichungen_beschluesse/2013/2013 09 12-Verbraucherbildung.pdf
- Korneeva, E., Grebennikov, O. V., Ignatovich, V. K. & Averina, L. Y. (2022). The influence of the consumer society on the education system. In V. Kumar, E. Kuzmin, W.-B. Zhang & Y. Lavrikova (Eds.), *Consequences of social transformation for economic theory* (pp. 225–237). Springer International.
- Kortesalmi, M. (2024). *Everyday financial capability* [Doctoral dissertation, University of Helsinki]. HELDA: University of Helsinki Open Repository. http://hdl.handle.net/10138/569530
- Kraut, R. (2022). Aristotle's ethics. In E. N. Zalta & U. Nodelman (Eds.), *Stanford encyclopedia of philosophy*. https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/aristotle-ethics/
- McGregor, S. L. T. (2003). Globalizing and humanizing consumer education: A new research agenda. *Journal of the Home Economics Institute of Australia*, 10(1), 2–9.
- McGregor, S. L. T. (2005). Sustainable consumer empowerment through critical consumer education: A typology of consumer education approaches. *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, *29*(5), 437–447. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1470-6431.2005.00467.x
- McGregor, S. L. T. (2010). Consumer moral leadership. de Gruyter Brill.
- McGregor, S. L. T. (2011). Consumer education philosophies. The relationship between education and consumption. *ZEP: Zeitschrift für internationale Bildungsforschung und Entwicklungspädagogik, 34*(4), 4–8. https://doi.org/10.25656/01:9446
- McGregor, S. L. T. (2012). Consumer interest research (CIR) primer for policy-making purposes. Based on a report prepared for Industry Canada.

- McGregor Consulting Group. https://consultmcgregor.com/documents/research/S_McGregor_report_on_Consumer_Interest_Research_for_posting.pdf
- McGregor, S. L. T. (2015). Reframing perspectives for consumer work: Karpatkin International Consumer Fellow lecture. *Consumer Interests Annual*, *61*, http://www.consumerinterests.org/assets/docs/CIA/CIA2015/karpatkin%20lecture mcgregor.pdf
- McGregor, S. L. T. (2020). Emerging from the deep: Complexity, emergent pedagogy and deep learning. *Northwest Journal of Complex Systems*, 2(1), Article 2, https://orb.binghamton.edu/nejcs/vol2/iss1/2/
- McGregor, S. L. T. (2023). Professional accountability via professional impertives. *Journal of Family & Consumer Sciences*, 115(3), 7–16.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.14307/JFCS115.3.7
- McGregor, S. L. T. (in press). The thinking professional. Emerald.
- Mepham, J. (2024). Philosophers exploring the good life. *Philosophy Now, 162*. https://philosophynow.org/issues/162/Philosophers_Exploring The Good Life
- Mick, D. G., Pettigrew, S., Pechmann, C. & Ozanne, J. L. (2012). Origins, qualities, and environments of transformative consumer research. In D. G. Mick, S. Pettigrew, C. Pechmann & J. L. Ozanne (Eds.), *Transformative consumer research* (pp. 3–24). Routledge.
- Morrison, L. (2007). Resocialization. In G. Ritzer (Ed.), *The Blackwell encyclopedia of sociology* (2nd ed.) (pp. 3889–3891). Blackwell.
- Moschis, G. P. (1987). Consumer socialization. Lexington Books.
- Naderi, R. & O'Riordan, W. (2020). *The consumer education journey* [Master Thesis, Lund University]. Lund University Publications Institutional Repository. Https://lup.lub.lu.se/student-papers/record/9023435/file/9023437.pdf
- Nash, J. (2015, December 14). What is the good life and how to attain it. *Positive Psychology Blog*. https://positivepsychology.com/good-life/#what-is-the-good-life
- Normativity. (2024, March 14). In *Wikipedia*. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Normativity
- Olfert, C. M. M. (2017). Aristotle on practical truth. Oxford Academic.
- Osterman, M. D. (2012). Digital literacy: Definition, theoretical framework, and competencies. In M. S. Plakhotnik, S. M. Nielsen & D. M. Pane (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 11th Annual College of Education & Graduate Student Network Research Conference* (pp. 135–141). Florida International University. https://digitalcommons.fiu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1213&context=sferc
- Shu'ara, J. (2021). Consumer education as a strategy for sustaining human rights and national security in Nigeria. *American Journal of Research in Business*

- and Social Sciences, 1(2), 1–7. https://www.mprijournals.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/JAMILA%20AJRBSS%202021.pdf
- Simanjuntak, M., & Mubarokah, U. (2021). Investigating how consumer education and lifestyle influence consumer empowerment: Case in rural and urban areas, Indonesia. *Independent Journal of Management & Production*, 12(5), 1232–1249. doi:10.14807/ijmp.v12i5.1395
- Stewart, C. R., & Yap, S.-F. (2020). Low literacy, policy and consumer vulnerability: Are we really doing enough? *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, 44(4), 343–352. doi:10.1111/ijcs.12569
- Stewart, E., & Roy, A. D. (2014). Subjectification. In T. Teo (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of critical psychology* (pp. 1876–1880). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-5583-7 358
- Suk, J., Li, X. & Hwang, H. (2023). A systematic review of consumer empowerment research trends: Evidence from esteemed consumer studies journals. *Journal of Consumer Affairs*, 57(3), 1423–1452. doi:10.1111/joca.12552
- Symonds, E. (2021). The depersonalised consumer subjectivity and its effect on fostering meaningful relationships between undergraduates and academics in higher education. *Critical Studies in Education*, *62*(5), 624–640. https://doi.org/10.1080/17508487.2020.1755330.
- Tajurahim, N. N. S., Abu Bakar, E., Md Jusoh, Z., Ahmad, S. O. & Muhammad Arif, A. M. (2020). The effect of intensity of consumer education, self-efficacy, personality traits and social media on consumer empowerment. *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, 44(6), 511–520. doi:10.1111/jcs.12585
- Ueno, Y., Olczak, M., & Takahashi, Y. (2009). *Promoting consumer education: Trends, policies and good practices*. Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development. https://www.oecd.org/content/dam/oecd/en/publications/reports/2009/02/promoting-consumer-education g1gha93b/9789264060098-en.pdf
- Weeks, C. S., Mortimer, G. & Page, L. (2016). Understanding how consumer education impacts shoppers over time: A longitudinal field study of unit price usage. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, *32*, 198–209. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2016.06.012
- Wittau, F. (2021). "Buy right!?" Consumer education and governing the self. In C. Fridrich, U, Hagedorn, R. Hedtke, P. Mittnik & G. Tafner (Eds.), Wirtschaft, gesellschaft und politik (pp. 289–310). Springer.
- Wittau, F. (2024). "Consuming the right way?!": Consumer education and the government of the self. In C. Fridrich, U, Hagedorn, R. Hedtke, P. Mittnik & G. Tafner (Eds.), *Wirtschaft, gesellschaft und politik* (pp. 259–276). Springer.

Author

Sue L. T. McGregor PhD, IPHE, Professor Emerita Mount Saint Vincent University McGregor Consulting Group, 11565 Peggy's Cove Road Seabright Nova Scotia, B3Z 2Y1, Canada

E-Mail: sue.mcgregor@msvu.ca

Internet: http://www.consultmcgregor.com/